Editorial Policy

The editors are committed to upholding the integrity of the work we publish. We pledge to meet the highest standards in publication ethics, such as those described within the Principles of Transparency and Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (see more here - https://oaspa.org/principles-of-transparency-and-best-practice-in-scholarly-publishing-2/).

Peer review process

The Editors initially review manuscripts submitted to this event through the Open Journal System from SCIENDO to analyze if the Author Guidelines were respected, if the paper's subject fits the conference editorial policy if the text is written in correct English, and if any plagiarism issues. Then the editor will decide accordingly if the article will be rejected or accepted for peer review.

This event uses a double-blind peer review process. Each paper will be reviewed by two to three experts/ specialists in the field. If the conclusions of the reviewers are different, the final decision will be taken by the Editors. The identity of the author(s) and reviewers will be protected.

Each submitted manuscript is evaluated on the following basis:

- the originality of its contribution to the field
- the coherence of its analysis
- its ability to communicate to readers (Grammar and style)

After the peer review, the article will be either:

- accepted without changes;
- accepted with conditions (the author(s) will be asked to make some minor or major changes);
- rejected.