

Editorial Policies

Authors are aware that the peer review process is conducted through the online Editorial Manager® for Proceedings, provided by Sciendo publisher and operated by the organizers' editorial team.

Any communication between editors and reviewers is only possible via the Editorial Manager® system.

Before deciding whether to accept a manuscript, accept it with revisions or reject it, the editor in charge considers both the manuscript and the reviewers' comments.

The peer reviews help the managing editor to make decisions based on reviewers' comments, but they can also help the author to improve his or her work.

Once the reviewers have completed the peer review form and a decision has been made by the reviewers, the Managing Editor may send one of the following decisions to the corresponding author:

- Rejected: if there are significant concerns (other than fraud) about the quality of the scientific content of the paper and if the reviewers see no way to improve the article to make it suitable for publication.
- Accepted with minor/major revisions: when there are papers that show promise but need further corrections / revisions and rewording. The revised manuscripts will undergo a new peer review process and follow the procedure.
- Accepted without changes: if the article is suitable for publication in its current form.