

Reviewer :
Reviewer's affiliation:

Review of the article

Title:

-
- A.** Assessment of the quality of the submitted article. Please answer the questions below by rating on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 - insufficient, 2 - sufficient, 3 - good, 4 - very good, 5 - excellent.

Question	Score from 1 to 5
Is the topic of the article scientifically current?	
Are the presented results new?	
Are the presented methods original?	
Does the title of the article correspond to its content?	
Does the introduction to the article include the objectives of the work?	
Is the terminology used correct?	
Is the cited literature relevant and sufficient?	
Is the illustrative material (drawings, tables) selected correctly?	
Does the abstract of the article clearly describe the methods used and the results obtained?	
Are the conclusions contained in the article appropriate and justified by the content of the work?	
Total	

B. Reviewer's decision (To express your decision, please put an "X" in the appropriate box)

Decision	Please put an "X" in the appropriate box
The article has been accepted for publication without any corrections	
Article accepted for publication after minor corrections (without the need for another review)	
The article has been accepted for publication after significant corrections (need to be reviewed again)	
The article is not suitable for publication in the form presented and requires re-editing	
The article is not suitable for publication	

1. Comments and suggestions to Author/s

2. Comments to the editor (Will not be disclosed to the authors of the article)